```
1
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
                      EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
 4
5
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                    Plaintiff,
                                      17-CR-00154 (WFK)
6
    ٧.
 7
                                       United States Courthouse
                                       Brooklyn, New York
8
    TARA LENICH,
                                       MONDAY, APRIL 3, 2017
                                       12:00 noon
9
                    Defendant.
10
11
               TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR PLEADING
12
                BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM F. KUNTZ II
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
    APPEARANCES:
    FOR THE GOVERNMENT:
                          BRIDGET M. ROHDE
15
                          United States Attorney's Office
                          Eastern District of New York
16
                               MARIA CRUZ MELENDEZ
                               ROBERT POLEMENI
17
                          Assistant United States Attorneys
                          271 Cadman Plaza East
18
                          Brooklyn, New York 11201
19
    FOR THE DEFENDANT:
                          WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI PC
                          BY: MORRIS J. FODEMAN, ESQ.
20
                          1301 Avenue of the Americas, 40th Floor
                          New York, New York 10019
21
22
    THE COURT REPORTER:
                          NICOLE CANALES, RPR, CSR
                          225 Cadman Plaza East
23
                          Brooklyn, New York 11201
                          cnlsnic@aol.com
24
    Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript
25
    Produced by Computer-Assisted Transcript.
```

	Proceedings 2
1	THE CLERK: U.S.A. versus Lenich, Case Number
2	17CR00154.
3	Counsel, please state your names for the record.
4	MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Good afternoon, your Honor.
5	Maria Cruz Melendez and Robert Polemeni for the United States.
6	Maria, M-a-r-i-a, Cruz, C-r-u-z, Melendez, M-e-l-e-n-d-e-z,
7	Robert, R-o-b-e-r-t, Polemeni, P-o-l-e-m-e-n-i.
8	THE COURT: Good afternoon. Please be seated.
9	Remain seated during the balance of the conversation.
10	MR. FODEMAN: Good afternoon, Judge. Moe Fodeman,
11	from Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, for the defendant,
12	Tara Lenich. Also with me is co-counsel, Gary Farrell.
13	Fodeman is F-o-d-e-m-a-n; first name Morris, M-o-r-r-i-s; and
14	Gary, G-a-r-y, Farrell, F-a-r-r-e-l-l.
15	Good afternoon, Judge.
16	THE COURT: Good afternoon. You may all be seated.
17	Thank you.
18	Are there any other counsel who wish to note their
19	appearance for the record today?
20	Hearing none, Mr. Jackson, would you please swear
21	the defendant.
22	THE CLERK: Ma'am, please stand and raise your right
23	hand. You do solemnly swear or affirm that the answers you're
24	about to give to the Court will be the truth, the whole truth
25	and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

	Proceedings 3
1	THE DEFENDANT: I do.
2	THE COURT: Please be seated, ma'am. Thank you.
3	Good morning.
4	THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.
5	THE COURT: I'm going to begin by asking you a few
6	questions about your background. Where were you born, ma'am?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Worcester, Massachusetts.
8	THE COURT: I'm going to ask you to pull the
9	microphone closer to you. Speak right into it.
10	THE DEFENDANT: Worcester, Massachusetts.
11	THE COURT: And what is your date of birth?
12	THE DEFENDANT: May 2nd, 1975.
13	THE COURT: And are you a United States citizen?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Beginning with grammar school, would you
16	briefly describe your educational background.
17	THE DEFENDANT: I went to grammar school in
18	Worcester, Massachusetts.
19	THE COURT: You're going to have to keep your voice
20	up, and speak a little more slowly.
21	THE DEFENDANT: I went to grammar school in
22	Worcester, Massachusetts.
23	THE COURT: Which school?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Nelson Place (phonetic) was the name
25	of it. I then moved with my family to Connecticut, where I

	Proceedings 4
1	attended Cider Mill School from fourth to sixth grade, and
2	then Middlebrook Middle School, as well as Wilton High School
3	for four years. I then went to Colby College for four years,
4	and then I went to law school at Emory Law School.
5	THE COURT: And when did you graduate from Emory Law
6	School?
7	THE DEFENDANT: 2003.
8	THE COURT: When were you admitted to the bar?
9	THE DEFENDANT: 2003, in New York, and 2004, in
10	Connecticut.
11	THE COURT: Have you taken any drugs, any medicine,
12	any pills, or consumed any alcoholic beverage within the past
13	24 hours?
14	THE DEFENDANT: No.
15	THE COURT: You understand what is happening here
16	today?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Defense counsel, do you have any doubt
19	as to the defendant's competence to proceed, at this time?
20	MR. FODEMAN: No, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Prosecution, do you have any doubt as to
22	the defendant's competence to proceed, at this time?
23	MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: No, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: The Court hereby finds based on the
25	defendant's representations and the representations of all

5 Proceedings counsel of record that the defendant is competent to proceed. 1 2 The Court has marked the Indictment as Court Exhibit 1 for identification. 3 May I have a motion to admit Court 1 into evidence? 4 MR. FODEMAN: So moved, Judge. 5 THE COURT: Any objection? 6 7 MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: No objection. 8 THE COURT: The Indictment is now admitted into 9 evidence as Court 1. I will now read the Indictment. 10 The grand jury charges. Introduction to all counts. At all times relevant to this indictment, unless otherwise 11 12 indicated: 13 The Kings County District Attorney's Office: 14 The Kings County District Attorney's Office, also known as the Brooklyn District Attorney's Office, ("the 15 16 KCDA"), was a government agency responsible for investigating and prosecuting New York State and local criminal offenses in 17 18 the borough of Brooklyn, New York. Assistant District 19 Attorneys, quote, ("ADAs"), at the KCDA were responsible for 20 investigating and prosecuting such criminal offenses. 21 II: Procedures for gathering certain evidence. 22 In order to investigate and prosecute such 23 criminal offenses, ADAs have authority to gather evidence in a 24 variety of ways, for example, ADAs were authorized by law to

apply for orders and warrants to be issued by a judge of a

## Proceedings

competent court, such as justices of the New York State
Supreme Court, to search electronic media stored by
telecommunications provider, or to intercept oral or
electronic communications transmitted to and from particular
telephones.

To attain such orders and warrants, ADAs were authorized to submit applications to judges that include sworn affidavits from law enforcement personnel. If a judge considering such an application was satisfied that the application met the relevant legal standard, the judge issued a search warrant and written order, authorizing the search or interception, which the ADA or other KCDA staff served on the telecommunications provider by facsimile or other similar method.

Three: ADAs also gathered evidence by issuing, in the name of the sitting grand jury, subpoenas for stored records. ADAs often issued such grand jury subpoenas to telecommunications providers for stored records pertaining to particular subscribers. ADAs were permitted to issue a grand jury subpoena if the ADA had a good faith basis to believe that the information sought by the subpoena was relevant to a criminal investigation the ADA was conducting.

Four: As ADAs understood, state and federal law imposed rules governing the acquisition of such evidence and the use of such evidence-gathering techniques. As ADAs also

# Proceedings 7

understood, they held a position of public trust that required them to follow these laws.

III: The Defendant's Scheme to Illegally Eavesdrop.

Five: The defendant, Tara Lenich, was employed as an ADA and a KCDA. As part of her job responsibilities at the KCDA, Lenich was responsible for supervising other ADAs.

A: Cellular Telephone 1.

Six: In or about and between June 2015 and December 2015, the defendant, Tara Lenich, forged the signatures of various New York State Supreme Court Justices on documents that purported to be judicially authorized orders. These forged signatures purported to authorize the KCDA and other law enforcement agencies to intercept and record oral and electronic communications transmitted to and from a particular cellular telephone line, the assigned number and subscriber information of which is known to the grand jury, (Cellular Telephone 1.)

Seven: To accomplish this forgery, the defendant,
Tara Lynch, physically cut a copy of each such judge's
signature from a legitimate document and taped the signature
onto the fraudulent documents she had created. In fact,
Lenich never submitted to any judge any application for
permission to intercept communications transmitted to and from
Cellular Telephone 1, and Lenich was not authorized by the
KCDA to conduct any investigation involving such

### Proceedings

communications.

Eight: The defendant, Tara Lenich, transmitted and caused to be transmitted the forged orders that she created with telecommunications company servicing Cellular Telephone 1 ("Provider 1"). Each such forged judicial order purported to authorize law enforcement officials to intercept and record the oral and electronic communications transmitted to and from Cellular Telephone 1 for a period of 30 days.

At the end of one or more 30-day periods, Lenich created and submitted an additional forged order that purported to authorize the continued interception and recording of the communications transmitted to and from Cellular Telephone 1 for an additional 30 days. In total, Lenich created and submitted to "Provider 1" seven forged judicial orders to intercept the oral and electronic communications transmitted to and from Cellular Telephone 1.

Nine: After defendant, Tara Lenich, submitted these fraudulent judicial orders to Provider 1, Lenich misappropriated KCDA equipment and facilities in order to illegally intercept and record the oral and electronic communications transmitted to and from Cellular Telephone 1, and did illegally intercept, eavesdrop on, and record such communications.

Ten: Also as part of her illegal eavesdropping scheme, in or about and between May of 2015 and November of

text messages transmitted to and from Cellular Telephone 1.

## Proceedings

2016, the defendant, Tara Lenich, created and submitted to Provider 1 forged search warrants, authorizing the seizure of

B: Cellular Telephone 2.

Eleven: In or about and between August 2015 and November 27th, 2016, the defendant, Tara Lenich, also created another series of similar forged judicial orders, which she transmitted, and caused to be transmitted, the telecommunications company, ("Provider Number 2"), servicing another cellular telephone line, the assigned number and subscriber information of which is known to the grand jury, Cellular Telephone 2.

Each such forged judicial order purported to authorize law enforcement officials to intercept and record the oral and electronic communications, occurring over Cellular Telephone 2, for a period of 30 days. In total, Lenich created and submitted to ("Provider 2") 17 forged judicial orders to intercept the oral and electronic communications transmitted to and from Cellular Telephone 2.

In fact, Lenich never submitted to any judge any application for permission to intercept communications transmitted to and from Cellular Telephone 2. Lenich was not authorized by the KCDA to conduct any investigation involving such communications.

12: After defendant, Tara Lenich, submitted these

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## Proceedings

10

fraudulent judicial orders to Provider 2, Lenich misappropriated KCDA equipment and facilities in order to illegally intercept and record the oral and electronic communications transmitted to and from Cellular Telephone 2, and did illegally intercept, eavesdrop on and record such communications.

C: Preventing Detection of the Scheme.

To avoid having her illegal eavesdropping scheme discovered, the defendant, Tara Lenich, lied to other KCDA employees. Specifically, Lenich informed them that she was intercepting the communications over Cellular Telephone 1 and Cellular Telephone 2 as part of a confidential law enforcement investigation that she was conducting, and instructed them not to listen to, read or otherwise review the communications granted to and from Cellular Telephone 1 or Cellular Telephone 2.

> Unlawful Grand Jury Subpoenas. D:

Fourteen: In or about and between June 2015 and November 27th, 2016, the defendant, Tara Lenich, also created and submitted several grand jury subpoenas to telecommunications providers seeking subscriber information for some of the telephone numbers that communicated Cellular Telephone 1 and Cellular Telephone 2 during the period Lenich was illegally eavesdropping on him. Lenich did not have a good faith basis to believe that the information that she

### 11 Proceedings 1 would obtain via these subpoenas was relevant to any ongoing 2 criminal investigation. 3 Count One: Illegal Interception of Communications - Cellular Telephone 1. 4 5 Fifteen: The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 14 are realleged and incorporated as set forth fully 6 7 in this paragraph. 8 In or about and between June 2015 and 9 January 2016, both dates being approximate and inclusive, within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the 10 11 defendant, Tara Lenich, without lawful authorization, 12 knowingly and intentionally intercepted, endeavored to 13 intercept, and procured another person to intercept and 14 endeavored to intercept the wire, oral and electronic 15 communications to others, to wit: oral and electronic 16 communications transmitted to and from Cellular Telephone 1, 17 in violation of Title 18 United States Code, Sections 18 2511(1)(a), 2511(4)(a) and 3551 et seguentia. Illegal Interception of Communications -19 Count Two: Cellular Telephone 2: 20 21 Seventeen: The allegations contained in paragraphs 22 1 through 14 are realleged and incorporated as set forth fully 23 in this paragraph. 24 Eighteen: In or about and between August 2015 and 25 November 2016, both dates being approximate and inclusive,

# 12 Proceedings within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the 1 2 defendant, Tara Lenich, without lawful authorization, 3 knowingly and intentionally intercepted, endeavored to 4 intercept, and procured another person to intercept and endeavor to intercept the wire, oral and electronic 5 communications of others, to wit: Oral and electronic 6 7 communication transmitted to and from Cellular Telephone 8 2 [sic]. 9 Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2511(1)(a), 2511(4)(a) and 3551 et sequentia. 10 11 Criminal Forfeiture Allegation. 12 Nineteen: The United States hereby gives notice to 13 the defendant that upon her conviction of either of the 14 offenses charged herein, the government will seek forfeiture 15 in accordance with Title 18, United States Code, Section 2513 16 and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), of any 17 electronic, mechanical, or other device used, sent, carried, 18 manufactured, assembled, possessed, sold or advertised, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2511(1)(a). 19 20 Twenty: If any of the above-described forfeitable 21 property as a result of any act or omission of the defendant: 22 Α: Cannot be located upon the exercise of due 23 diligence; 24 B: Has been transferred or sold to, or deposited

25

with, a third party;

	Proceedings 13
1	C: Has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
3	B: Has been substantially diminished in value; or
4	E: Has been commingled with other property which
5	cannot be divided without difficulty.
6	It is the intent of the United States, pursuant to
7	Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), to seek
8	forfeiture of any other property of the defendant, up to the
9	value of the forfeitable property described in this forfeiture
10	allegation.
11	Title 18 United States Code, Section 2513, Title 21,
12	United States Code, Section 853(p), Title 28, United States
13	Code, Section 2461(c).
14	A True Bill, signed by the foreperson and signed by
15	Bridget M. Rohde, R-h-o-d-e, Acting United States Attorney,
16	Eastern District of New York.
17	Have I accurately read the Indictment that is in
18	evidence as Court 1?
19	MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor.
20	MR. FODEMAN: Yes.
21	THE COURT: We have a Plea Penalty Sheet in this
22	case. There is no plea agreement. May I have the Plea
23	Penalty Sheet, which has been marked as Court Exhibit 2, for
24	identification.
25	May I have a motion to admit the penalty sheet into

```
14
                               Proceedings
    evidence, please?
1
2
              MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: So moved, your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: Any objection?
 4
              MR. FODEMAN: No, your Honor.
              THE COURT: It is admitted. I will now read the
5
    Plea Penalty Sheet, Exhibit 2, which is in evidence.
6
7
              The Plea Penalty Sheet reads as follows:
8
              Caption of this case begins as follows:
9
              This is not, underscore, a plea agreement.
                                                           The
10
    government submits this Plea Penalty Sheet to advise the Court
    of the penalties the defendant faces upon pleading guilty to
11
12
    Counts One and Two of the above-captioned indictment, each
13
    count charging a violation of Title 18, United States Code,
    Section 2511(1)(a).
14
15
                  Statutory Penalties:
16
              Each count carries the following statutory
17
    penalties:
18
              One:
                    Maximum term of imprisonment: 5 years.
    (18 U.S.C. Section 2511(4)(A).
19
20
              Two: Minimum term of imprisonment: 0 years.
21
    (18 U.S.C. Section 25114(a).
22
                      Maximum supervised release term: 3 years,
              Three:
23
    to follow any term of imprisonment. If a condition of release
24
    is violated, the defendant may be sentenced to up to 2 years
25
    without credit for prerelease imprisonment for time previously
```

```
15
                               Proceedings
    served on post-release supervision, (18 U.S.C. Section 3583
1
2
    (b) & (e)).
 3
              Four: Maximum fine: $250,000 (18 U.S.C. Section
4
    357(b)(3).
5
              Five: Restitution: Mandatory, to be determined by
    the Court at the time of sentencing (18 U.S.C. Sections 3663A
6
7
    and 3664).
8
                    $100 special assessment, (18 U.S.C. Section
    3013.)
9
                      Criminal forfeiture to be determined at
10
              Seven:
11
                 The government will seek forfeiture of all right,
12
    title and interest to any electronic, mechanical or other
13
    device used, sent, carried, manufactured, assembled,
14
    possessed, sold or advertised in violation of Title 18,
15
    United States Code, Section 2511(1)(a), and/or as substitute
16
    assets. (18 U.S.C. Section 2513; 21 U.S.C. Section 853(p);
17
    28 U.S.C. Section 2461(c)).
18
              The sentences imposed on Counts One and Two may run
19
    consecutively.
                  Guidelines Estimates:
20
              B:
                  The guidelines calculation set forth herein are
21
              1.
22
    only estimates and are not binding on the government, the
    Probation Department, or the Court. The inclusion of a
23
24
    downward adjustment under Section 3E1.1 in the estimates
25
    depends on the defendant demonstrating acceptance of
```

```
16
                               Proceedings
    responsibility, elocution (phonetic), and substantive conduct
1
2
    prior to the imposition of sentence.
 3
              One: Count One, base offense level
4
    (Section 2H3.1(a)(1) nine, plus abuse of trust. (Section
5
    3B1.3), plus two. Total 11.
              Two: Count Two: Base offense level.
6
7
    (Section 2H3.1(a)(1) nine. Plus: Abuse of trust, Section
8
    3B1.3, plus 2. Total 11.
9
              Multiple-count analysis, Section 3D1.4.
10
              Count One, Level 11, Unit 1, Count Two, Level 11,
             Levels added to the highest offense level, plus 2.
11
12
              Adjusted offense level:
                                      13.
13
              Less: Acceptance of responsibility,
14
    Section 3E1.1(a), minus 2.
15
              Total Offense Level: 11.
              This level carries a range of imprisonment of 8 to
16
    14 months, assuming the defendant falls within criminal
17
18
    history Category 1.
19
                      Brooklyn, New York, March 28th, 2017,
20
    Bridget M. Rohde, Assistant United States Attorney, Eastern
21
    District of New York, by Robert T. Polemeni, Assistant United
22
    States Attorney, with a cc shown to the Clerk of the Court
23
    (WFK), via ECF, and defense counsel via e-mail and ECF.
24
               I would just note that the plea agreement -- Plea
25
    Penalty Sheet I just read was not physically signed by the
```

```
17
                               Proceedings
1
    Assistant United States Attorney.
2
              Do we have a signed version of that?
 3
              MR. POLEMENI: I can do it right now.
 4
              THE COURT: Why don't you do that.
              And is that acceptable that we have that as a Court
5
6
    Exhibit 2?
7
              MR. FODEMAN: Yes, Judge. No objection to that.
8
              THE COURT: Any objection to anything that I have
9
    read about the Plea Penalty Sheet accurately read?
10
              MR. FODEMAN: It was accurately read. Just two
    points I would like to make.
11
12
              THE COURT: Yes. Absolutely. Make them slowly, so
13
    the reporter can get them.
14
              MR. FODEMAN: Fair enough, Judge. Thank you.
    First, with respect to footnote one, and I think this is
15
16
    obvious to all the parties in the Court, but just for
17
    Ms. Lenich's benefit, obviously these are estimates, these
18
    quidelines. And while they are not binding on the Court, the
19
    Probation Department or the government, they're also not
20
    binding on the defense either, and there will be a probation
21
    report, and, obviously, we'll have an opportunity to address
22
    the applicability of the guidelines at sentencing.
23
              THE COURT: There's no objection to that statement,
24
    is there?
25
              MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: No, your Honor.
```

MR. FODEMAN: And the other thank you, Judge. The other point, just to point out and I understand that this is the government's estimate, but in the interest of being fulsome and completely accurate, the range the total offense level, as calculated by the government, totalling 11, it does carry a range of 8 to 14 months, but it also falls within Zone C of the sentencing chart, making if this turns out to be the applicable range, then the sentence can be served, if your Honor were inclined, by way of house arrest or halfway house. I just wanted to point that out for the record.  THE COURT: Any objection to that comment? MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: That's the government's understanding as well.  THE COURT: Anything else? MR. FODEMAN: Nothing further. Thank you, Judge. THE COURT: You're welcome. The only thing I would note and it's a minor point on page 2, paragraph 6, that refers to the \$100 special assessment. Under 18 U.S.C. Section 3013, it's my understanding that that's \$100 per count and per individual.  Is that the government's understanding? MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Is that defense counsel's understanding as well?		Proceedings 18
this is the government's estimate, but in the interest of being fulsome and completely accurate, the range the total offense level, as calculated by the government, totalling 11, it does carry a range of 8 to 14 months, but it also falls within Zone C of the sentencing chart, making if this turns out to be the applicable range, then the sentence can be served, if your Honor were inclined, by way of house arrest or halfway house. I just wanted to point that out for the record.  THE COURT: Any objection to that comment?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: That's the government's understanding as well.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. FODEMAN: Nothing further. Thank you, Judge. THE COURT: You're welcome. The only thing I would note and it's a minor point on page 2, paragraph 6, that refers to the \$100 special assessment. Under 18 U.S.C. Section 3013, it's my understanding that that's \$100 per count and per individual.  Is that the government's understanding?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Is that defense counsel's understanding	1	MR. FODEMAN: And the other thank you, Judge.
being fulsome and completely accurate, the range the total offense level, as calculated by the government, totalling 11, it does carry a range of 8 to 14 months, but it also falls within Zone C of the sentencing chart, making if this turns out to be the applicable range, then the sentence can be served, if your Honor were inclined, by way of house arrest or halfway house. I just wanted to point that out for the record.  THE COURT: Any objection to that comment?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: That's the government's understanding as well.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. FODEMAN: Nothing further. Thank you, Judge. THE COURT: You're welcome. The only thing I would note and it's a minor point on page 2, paragraph 6, that refers to the \$100 special assessment. Under 18 U.S.C. Section 3013, it's my understanding that that's \$100 per count and per individual.  Is that the government's understanding?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Is that defense counsel's understanding	2	The other point, just to point out and I understand that
offense level, as calculated by the government, totalling 11, it does carry a range of 8 to 14 months, but it also falls within Zone C of the sentencing chart, making if this turns out to be the applicable range, then the sentence can be served, if your Honor were inclined, by way of house arrest or halfway house. I just wanted to point that out for the record.  THE COURT: Any objection to that comment?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: That's the government's understanding as well.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. FODEMAN: Nothing further. Thank you, Judge.  THE COURT: You're welcome.  The only thing I would note and it's a minor point on page 2, paragraph 6, that refers to the \$100 special assessment. Under 18 U.S.C. Section 3013, it's my understanding that that's \$100 per count and per individual.  Is that the government's understanding?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor.  THE COURT: Is that defense counsel's understanding	3	this is the government's estimate, but in the interest of
it does carry a range of 8 to 14 months, but it also falls within Zone C of the sentencing chart, making if this turns out to be the applicable range, then the sentence can be served, if your Honor were inclined, by way of house arrest or halfway house. I just wanted to point that out for the record.  THE COURT: Any objection to that comment?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: That's the government's understanding as well.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. FODEMAN: Nothing further. Thank you, Judge.  THE COURT: You're welcome.  The only thing I would note and it's a minor point on page 2, paragraph 6, that refers to the \$100 special assessment. Under 18 U.S.C. Section 3013, it's my understanding that that's \$100 per count and per individual.  Is that the government's understanding?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor.  THE COURT: Is that defense counsel's understanding	4	being fulsome and completely accurate, the range the total
within Zone C of the sentencing chart, making if this turns out to be the applicable range, then the sentence can be served, if your Honor were inclined, by way of house arrest or halfway house. I just wanted to point that out for the record.  THE COURT: Any objection to that comment?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: That's the government's understanding as well.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. FODEMAN: Nothing further. Thank you, Judge.  THE COURT: You're welcome.  The only thing I would note and it's a minor point on page 2, paragraph 6, that refers to the \$100 special assessment. Under 18 U.S.C. Section 3013, it's my understanding that that's \$100 per count and per individual.  Is that the government's understanding?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor.  THE COURT: Is that defense counsel's understanding	5	offense level, as calculated by the government, totalling 11,
out to be the applicable range, then the sentence can be served, if your Honor were inclined, by way of house arrest or halfway house. I just wanted to point that out for the record.  THE COURT: Any objection to that comment?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: That's the government's understanding as well.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. FODEMAN: Nothing further. Thank you, Judge.  THE COURT: You're welcome.  The only thing I would note and it's a minor point on page 2, paragraph 6, that refers to the \$100 special assessment. Under 18 U.S.C. Section 3013, it's my understanding that that's \$100 per count and per individual.  Is that the government's understanding?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor.  THE COURT: Is that defense counsel's understanding	6	it does carry a range of 8 to 14 months, but it also falls
served, if your Honor were inclined, by way of house arrest or halfway house. I just wanted to point that out for the record.  THE COURT: Any objection to that comment?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: That's the government's understanding as well.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. FODEMAN: Nothing further. Thank you, Judge.  THE COURT: You're welcome.  The only thing I would note and it's a minor point on page 2, paragraph 6, that refers to the \$100 special assessment. Under 18 U.S.C. Section 3013, it's my understanding that that's \$100 per count and per individual.  Is that the government's understanding?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor.  THE COURT: Is that defense counsel's understanding	7	within Zone C of the sentencing chart, making if this turns
halfway house. I just wanted to point that out for the record.  THE COURT: Any objection to that comment?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: That's the government's understanding as well.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. FODEMAN: Nothing further. Thank you, Judge.  THE COURT: You're welcome.  The only thing I would note and it's a minor point on page 2, paragraph 6, that refers to the \$100 special assessment. Under 18 U.S.C. Section 3013, it's my understanding that that's \$100 per count and per individual.  Is that the government's understanding?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor.  THE COURT: Is that defense counsel's understanding	8	out to be the applicable range, then the sentence can be
THE COURT: Any objection to that comment?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: That's the government's  understanding as well.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. FODEMAN: Nothing further. Thank you, Judge.  THE COURT: You're welcome.  The only thing I would note and it's a minor  point on page 2, paragraph 6, that refers to the \$100  special assessment. Under 18 U.S.C. Section 3013, it's my  understanding that that's \$100 per count and per individual.  Is that the government's understanding?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor.  THE COURT: Is that defense counsel's understanding	9	served, if your Honor were inclined, by way of house arrest or
THE COURT: Any objection to that comment?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: That's the government's  understanding as well.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. FODEMAN: Nothing further. Thank you, Judge.  THE COURT: You're welcome.  The only thing I would note and it's a minor  point on page 2, paragraph 6, that refers to the \$100  special assessment. Under 18 U.S.C. Section 3013, it's my  understanding that that's \$100 per count and per individual.  Is that the government's understanding?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor.  THE COURT: Is that defense counsel's understanding	10	halfway house. I just wanted to point that out for the
MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: That's the government's  understanding as well.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. FODEMAN: Nothing further. Thank you, Judge.  THE COURT: You're welcome.  The only thing I would note and it's a minor  point on page 2, paragraph 6, that refers to the \$100  special assessment. Under 18 U.S.C. Section 3013, it's my  understanding that that's \$100 per count and per individual.  Is that the government's understanding?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor.  THE COURT: Is that defense counsel's understanding	11	record.
understanding as well.  THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. FODEMAN: Nothing further. Thank you, Judge.  THE COURT: You're welcome.  The only thing I would note and it's a minor  point on page 2, paragraph 6, that refers to the \$100  special assessment. Under 18 U.S.C. Section 3013, it's my  understanding that that's \$100 per count and per individual.  Is that the government's understanding?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor.  THE COURT: Is that defense counsel's understanding	12	THE COURT: Any objection to that comment?
THE COURT: Anything else?  MR. FODEMAN: Nothing further. Thank you, Judge.  THE COURT: You're welcome.  The only thing I would note and it's a minor  point on page 2, paragraph 6, that refers to the \$100  special assessment. Under 18 U.S.C. Section 3013, it's my  understanding that that's \$100 per count and per individual.  Is that the government's understanding?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor.  THE COURT: Is that defense counsel's understanding	13	MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: That's the government's
MR. FODEMAN: Nothing further. Thank you, Judge.  THE COURT: You're welcome.  The only thing I would note and it's a minor  point on page 2, paragraph 6, that refers to the \$100  special assessment. Under 18 U.S.C. Section 3013, it's my  understanding that that's \$100 per count and per individual.  Is that the government's understanding?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor.  THE COURT: Is that defense counsel's understanding	14	understanding as well.
THE COURT: You're welcome.  The only thing I would note and it's a minor  point on page 2, paragraph 6, that refers to the \$100  special assessment. Under 18 U.S.C. Section 3013, it's my  understanding that that's \$100 per count and per individual.  Is that the government's understanding?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor.  THE COURT: Is that defense counsel's understanding	15	THE COURT: Anything else?
The only thing I would note and it's a minor point on page 2, paragraph 6, that refers to the \$100 special assessment. Under 18 U.S.C. Section 3013, it's my understanding that that's \$100 per count and per individual.  Is that the government's understanding?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor.  THE COURT: Is that defense counsel's understanding	16	MR. FODEMAN: Nothing further. Thank you, Judge.
point on page 2, paragraph 6, that refers to the \$100 special assessment. Under 18 U.S.C. Section 3013, it's my understanding that that's \$100 per count and per individual.  Is that the government's understanding?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor.  THE COURT: Is that defense counsel's understanding	17	THE COURT: You're welcome.
special assessment. Under 18 U.S.C. Section 3013, it's my understanding that that's \$100 per count and per individual.  Is that the government's understanding?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor.  THE COURT: Is that defense counsel's understanding	18	The only thing I would note and it's a minor
understanding that that's \$100 per count and per individual.  Is that the government's understanding?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor.  THE COURT: Is that defense counsel's understanding	19	point on page 2, paragraph 6, that refers to the \$100
Is that the government's understanding?  MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor.  THE COURT: Is that defense counsel's understanding	20	special assessment. Under 18 U.S.C. Section 3013, it's my
MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor.  THE COURT: Is that defense counsel's understanding	21	understanding that that's \$100 per count and per individual.
THE COURT: Is that defense counsel's understanding	22	Is that the government's understanding?
	23	MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor.
25 as well?	24	THE COURT: Is that defense counsel's understanding
	25	as well?

	Proceedings 19
1	MR. FODEMAN: Yes.
2	THE COURT: I've been paying attention, in the
3	five-and-a-half years I've been on the bench.
4	MR. FODEMAN: I missed that one.
5	THE COURT: That's why they pay me the big bucks. I
6	don't take credit for this; the law clerks figure these things
7	out. All right.
8	MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Your Honor, would you like
9	Mr. Polemeni to incorporate Court Exhibit 2 now?
10	THE COURT: Why don't you sign it now, and we will
11	have Court Two that is part of the physical record with the
12	signature. And that will be on ECF, so defense counsel will
13	be able to have a copy of the signed version as well, but
14	that's the only change that's been made to Court Two that we
15	have.
16	MR. FODEMAN: Understood, Judge. Thank you.
17	THE COURT: Is that acceptable with all parties?
18	MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor.
19	MR. FODEMAN: It is, Judge.
20	THE COURT: Ms. Lenich, unless your counsel or the
21	prosecution counsel wishes to be heard, or has an objection or
22	further comment, at this time, the Court believes we will now
23	turn to the final procedures for taking your plea in this
24	case. Are you ready to proceed to that?
25	MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes.

	Proceedings 20
1	MR. FODEMAN: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Ms. Lenich, your attorney advises
3	this Court that you wish to plead guilty to Counts One and
4	Counts Two of the Indictment, and that you are aware of the
5	contents of the Indictment and the Plea Penalty Sheet, both of
6	which I have just read to you. Do you wish me to read either
7	or both of them to you again?
8	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: And are you, in fact, aware of their
10	contents?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Thank you. Just ask you to keep your
13	voice up using the microphone. I say again this is a serious
14	decision, and I must be certain that you make it understanding
15	your rights and the consequences of your plea.
16	Do you understand that having been sworn to tell the
17	truth to this Court you must do so, and if you were to lie to
18	this Court deliberately, in response to any question I ask
19	you, you could and would face further criminal charges for
20	perjury? Do you understand that?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22	THE COURT: If you need me to repeat anything, you
23	have only to ask. It is important that you understand
24	everything that goes on in these proceedings; is that clear,
25	ma'am?

	Proceedings 21
1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Ms. Lenich, I must be certain that
3	whatever decision you make today, you make with a clear head,
4	so I'm going to ask you some questions about your health.
5	Are you presently or have you recently been under
6	the care of any kind of doctor, psychiatrist, physician, or
7	psychologist for any reason?
8	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: In the past 24 hours, ma'am, have you
10	taken any pills, any drugs, or any medicine of any kind?
11	THE DEFENDANT: No.
12	THE COURT: Have you ever been hospitalized or
13	treated for any drug-related problem?
14	THE DEFENDANT: No.
15	THE COURT: Have you ever participated in any
16	court-ordered drug treatment program?
17	THE DEFENDANT: No.
18	THE COURT: In the past 24 hours, ma'am, have you
19	consumed any alcoholic beverage?
20	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Have you ever been hospitalized or
22	treated for any alcohol-related problem?
23	THE DEFENDANT: No.
24	THE COURT: Have you ever participated in a
25	court-ordered alcohol treatment program?

	Proceedings 22
1	THE DEFENDANT: No.
2	THE COURT: Is your mind clear as you sit here
3	today?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Do you understand everything being said
6	to you?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Defense counsel, have you discussed the
9	question of a guilty plea with your client?
10	MR. FODEMAN: Yes, Judge.
11	THE COURT: In your view, sir, does she understand
12	the rights she would be waiving by pleading guilty?
13	MR. FODEMAN: In my view, she does.
14	THE COURT: Defense counsel, do you have any
15	question as to your client's competence to proceed today?
16	MR. FODEMAN: None, Judge.
17	THE COURT: Ms. Lenich, are you satisfied with the
18	assistance your attorney has given you thus far in your case?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Do you believe you have received
21	effective assistance of counsel in your case?
22	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: If you believe to have not received
24	effective assistance of counsel, you have the right to appeal
25	on that basis; do you understand that?

### 23 Proceedings THE DEFENDANT: 1 Yes. 2 THE COURT: Counsel for the defendant, do you feel 3 that you need more time to discuss the question of guilty plea 4 with your client? 5 MR. FODEMAN: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Ms. Lenich, I have read to you the 6 7 Indictment and again read the penalty sheet, and I'll ask you 8 again do you need me to read them out loud to you again, as I 9 have done? 10 THE DEFENDANT: No. 11 THE COURT: Now, ma'am, you have a right to plead 12 not guilty. No one can be forced to plead guilty; do you 13 understand that? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: If you plead not guilty, or if you persist in your plea of not guilty, you have a right under the 16 17 Constitution and the laws of the United States of America to a 18 speedy trial and a public trial before a jury of your peers, 19 with the assistance of your counsel; do you understand? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21 THE COURT: At any trial, ma'am, you would be 22 presumed to be innocent. You would not have to prove that you 23 were innocent. This is because under the laws of the 24 United States it is the United States government that must 25 come forward with proof that establishes beyond a reasonable

## Proceedings

doubt that you are, in fact, guilty of the crime charged. It the government failed to meet this burden of proof, the jury would have the duty to find you not guilty. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: During the course of a trial, witnesses for the government would have to come here to this courtroom and to testify in your presence; you would have the right to have your lawyer cross-examine these witnesses. Your lawyer could raise legal objections to the evidence the government sought to offer against you. Your attorney could offer evidence on your behalf if you thought there was evidence that might help you in your case. Your lawyer could compel witnesses to come to court and to testify in your defense if you thought that would be helpful to your case. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: At a trial, you would have the right to testify on your own behalf if you wish to do so. On the other hand, you could not be forced to be a witness at your trial. This is because under the Constitution, and the laws of the United States of America, no person can be compelled to be a witness against themselves. If you wish to go to trial but chose not to testify, this Court would instruct the jury that it could not hold that against you. Do you understand?

#### 25 Proceedings THE DEFENDANT: 1 Yes. 2 THE COURT: If instead of going to trial, however, 3 you elect to plead guilty to the crime charged, and if I 4 accept your guilty plea, you will be giving up your right to a 5 trial and all the other rights I have just discussed with you. Here, there will be no trial in this case. There will be no 6 7 appeal on the question of whether you did or you did not 8 commit the crime set forth in Count One and Count Two of the 9 Indictment. Do you understand? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 11 THE COURT: If you do plead guilty, I will have to 12 ask you certain questions about what you did and where you did 13 it, in order to satisfy myself that you are, in fact, guilty 14 of all the charges contained in Count One and Count Two of the 15 Indictment. You will have to answer my questions and to 16 acknowledge your guilt. If you do this, you will be giving up 17 your right not to incriminate yourself. Do you understand? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Ms. Lenich, are you willing to give up 20 your right to a trial and all the other rights I have just 21 discussed with you? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Is there any agreement that has been 24 reached with you or made with you in order to get you to plead

NICOLE CANALES, CSR, RPR

25

guilty?

#### Proceedings 26 THE DEFENDANT: 1 No. 2 THE COURT: Ms. Lenich, do you understand the 3 consequences of pleading guilty to all the charges contained in Counts One and Count Two of the Indictment and term of 4 5 incarceration? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Let me take you back to the penalty 8 sheet, the Plea Penalty Sheet, that is in evidence as 9 Court Exhibit 2, with respect to the information relative to 10 sentencing. Paragraph A of the Plea Penalty Sheet sets out 11 statutory terms that you face. These are the penalties 12 written directly by Congress for violations of the statute you 13 were charged with today. You face a maximum term of 5 years 14 of imprisonment for each count. Do you understand? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 16 THE COURT: Do you understand those counts can be --17 sentences can be imposed consecutively. Do you understand 18 that? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: You face a minimum term of zero years of 20 imprisonment; do you understand? 21 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 23 THE COURT: You face a maximum term of 3 years of 24 supervised release, following any term of imprisonment. Do 25 vou understand?

## 27 Proceedings THE DEFENDANT: 1 Yes. 2 THE COURT: If you violate a condition of release, 3 you may be sentenced to up to 2 years of imprisonment without 4 credit for prerelease imprisonment or time previously served on post-release supervision. Do you understand that? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 6 THE COURT: You face as well a maximum fine in the 7 amount of \$250,000. Do you understand? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: You face restitution in a mandatory amount to be determined by the Court at the time of 11 12 sentencing. Do you understand? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 14 THE COURT: You face a mandatory special assessment of \$100 per count, which I am required to impose in all cases 15 16 per person, per count. Do you understand? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: You face criminal forfeiture to be determined at sentencing. The government will seek forfeiture 19 20 of all right, title and interest in any electronic, mechanical 21 or other device used, sent, carried, manufactured, assembled, possessed, sold or advertise in violation of Title 18, 22 23 United States Code, Section 2511(1)(a), and/or as substitute 24 assets, pursuant to 18 U.S.C., Section 2513, Section 21 U.S.C. 25 Section 853(P) and 28 U.S.C. Section 2461C.

#### Proceedings 28 The sentences imposed on Counts One and Counts Two, 1 2 as a said previously, may run consecutively; do you understand 3 that? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Ms. Lenich, this is a sentence 5 guidelines case, so in sentencing you, the Court will have to 6 7 consider certain guidelines. These guidelines do not control 8 the Court but they inform the Court. 9 Has defense counsel discussed the sentencing 10 guidelines with the defendant? 11 MR. FODEMAN: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Is that true, ma'am? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 14 THE COURT: When the Court sentences you, the Court will have to consider certain factors about you and about 15 16 Counts One and Count Two of the indictment, as your counsel 17 earlier pointed out today. That inquiry will lead this Court 18 to consideration of the guideline sentencing range that I read to you earlier from Exhibit 2. You need me to read it aloud 19 20 again? 21 THE DEFENDANT: No thank you. 22 THE COURT: The Court is empowered to impose a 23 sentence which is less than equal to or greater than that 24 provided by the guidelines, subject always to the mandatory

minimums and to the maximums set forth in the statute, but in

	Proceedings 29
1	all cases, including this one, this Court must and this Court
2	will consult the guidelines concerning the range of sentence
3	before the Court. Do you understand that?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Now, before the Court imposes sentence,
6	this Court will receive a full report prepared by the
7	Probation Department, which will recommend a particular
8	sentence to the Court. You and your counsel will have the
9	opportunity to see that report, to review it, and if you think
10	that that report is mistaken, incomplete or simply wrong in
11	any way, you will have the opportunity to bring that to the
12	attention of this Court.
13	Now, ma'am, do you have any questions you would like
14	to ask this Court, at this time?
15	THE DEFENDANT: No thank you.
16	THE COURT: Does defense counsel have any questions
17	for the Court, at this time?
18	MR. FODEMAN: No, your Honor. Thank you.
19	THE COURT: Is there anything else defense counsel
20	would like the Court to address, at this time?
21	MR. FODEMAN: No thank you.
22	THE COURT: Does the Assistant United States
23	Attorney have any questions for the Court?
24	MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: No, your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Is there anything else the Assistant

	Proceedings 30
1	United States Attorney would like the Court to address, at
2	this time?
3	MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: No, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Defense counsel, do you know of any
5	reason why your client should not enter a plea of guilty to
6	Count One of the indictment?
7	MR. FODEMAN: No, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Defense counsel, do you know of any
9	reason why your client should not enter a plea of guilty to
10	Count Two of the indictment?
11	MR. FODEMAN: No, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Defense counsel, are you aware of any
13	viable legal defense that the charges contained in Count One
14	of the Indictment?
15	MR. FODEMAN: No, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Defense counsel, are you aware of any
17	viable legal defense contained to Count Two to the charges
18	contained in Count Two of the indictment?
19	MR. FODEMAN: No, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Ms. Lenich, are you ready to plead?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22	THE COURT: How do you plead to the charges
23	contained in Count One of the Indictment filed in this case;
24	guilty or not guilty?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor.

	Proceedings 31
1	THE COURT: Ms. Lenich, how do you plead to the
2	charges contained in Count Two of the Indictment filed in this
3	case; guilty or not guilty?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
5	THE COURT: Are you making this plea of guilty
6	voluntarily and of your own free will?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you or forced you
9	to plead guilty?
10	THE DEFENDANT: No.
11	THE COURT: Has anyone made you any promise that
12	caused you to plead guilty?
13	THE DEFENDANT: No.
14	THE COURT: Has anyone made you any promise about
15	the sentence you will receive from this Court in this case if
16	you plead guilty?
17	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Please describe briefly and slowly in
19	your own words what you did to commit the crimes charged in
20	Counts One and Count Two of the Indictment and where you did
21	it.
22	THE DEFENDANT: Between approximately 2015 and 2016,
23	while I was working at the Kings County District Attorney's
24	Office, here in Brooklyn, I intentionally forged court orders
25	that allowed me to wiretap cell phones for two different

	Proceedings 32
1	people and overhear those conversations. I knew the conduct
2	was illegal, and I'd just like to apologize and say I am so
3	sorry for my actions and for anyone that it affected.
4	THE COURT: On how many occasions, with respect
5	to perhaps we can do this with respect to each of the
6	telephones, if you're prepare today do that. These are the
7	counts. On how many occasions did you falsify the names of
8	judicial officers?
9	THE DEFENDANT: The numbers in the Indictment,
10	your Honor, seem correct.
11	THE COURT: Is that with respect to both Count One
12	and Count Two?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Were the intercepts involving wired
15	communications and oral communications and electronic
16	communications of others that were transmitted in interstate
17	commerce?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: As you understand that term to mean?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
21	THE COURT: And are the dates as set forth in the
22	indictment the accurate dates, as far as you're concerned?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: And where did you engage in that
25	activity and what judicial district of the United States?

	Proceedings 33
1	THE DEFENDANT: Here, in Brooklyn.
2	THE COURT: Here, in the Eastern District of
3	New York?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: And did you engage in these activities
6	anywhere other than the Easter District of New York, other
7	than Brooklyn, Queens, Long Island?
8	THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: They all occurred here, in the Eastern
10	District of New York?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Manhattan.
12	THE COURT: But mainly here in the Eastern District
13	of New York; is that fair to say?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
15	MR. FODEMAN: Judge, as you know maybe you didn't
16	know this. We have waived
17	THE COURT: I was just about to ask the government
18	if there was anything else that they wanted me to ask, but you
19	can
20	MR. FODEMAN: Just to clarify Ms. Lenich's colloquy,
21	we've waived any discovery, so with respect to the number of
22	orders or the time frame, the precise date, we have no
23	objection. I don't think Ms. Lenich quibbles with the dates,
24	but we certainly can't say with absolute certainty that the
25	dates are precisely right.

	Proceedings 34
1	THE COURT: And the Indictment does use the language
2	"on or about," so I take it that language is acceptable to the
3	defendant?
4	MR. FODEMAN: Absolutely.
5	THE COURT: Is that right, ma'am?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Anything else the government would like
8	the Court to ask, at this time?
9	MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Yes, your Honor, two things.
10	THE COURT: Slowly and loudly.
11	MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: With regard to the actual
12	interception and monitoring of the communications, if
13	the Court could ask whether or not the defendant used a
14	mechanical device, or other device, in order to monitor the
15	communications?
16	THE COURT: Why don't you read the question back so
17	we have it, madam reporter.
18	(The reporter reads back.)
19	MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: In intercept
20	THE COURT: Did you use mechanical device or other
21	device in order to monitor the communications, is the question
22	I put to you, that has been asked me to put to you by the
23	prosecutors.
24	THE DEFENDANT: I used the computer.
25	THE COURT: As mechanical device or other device,

```
Proceedings
                                                                  35
1
    yes?
2
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
              MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Your Honor, the next question
 3
4
    would be whether the defendant committed the conduct that
    she's allocuted to without statutory authorization or
5
    exemption under the applicable statutes.
6
7
                       (The reporter reads back.)
8
              THE COURT: I adopt the question.
9
              THE DEFENDANT: I had no authorization, your Honor,
10
    no statutory authorization.
11
              THE COURT: Anything else?
12
              MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: Nothing from the government,
13
    your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: Anything else from defense counsel?
15
              MR. FODEMAN: No, your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: Anything else the defendant would like
17
    to state, at this time?
18
              THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
19
              THE COURT: Based upon the information provided to
20
    this Court, I find that the defendant is acting voluntarily,
21
    and that she fully understands the charges, her rights, and
    the consequences of her plea. There is, moreover, a factual
22
23
    basis for the plea; I, therefore, accept the plea of guilty to
24
    all the charges contained in both Counts One and Count Two of
25
    the Indictment.
```

# Proceedings 36 1 I hereby order the Probation Department to provide 2 the presentence investigation report to this Court as soon as 3 possible, in any event, within six months from this date, which would be on/or before October 3rd of 2017. 4 5 Now, is there anything further that either counsel 6 wishes to state to the Court today? 7 MR. FODEMAN: No, your Honor. 8 MS. CRUZ MELENDEZ: No, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Thank you. Court is adjourned. Thank 10 you all. 11 MR. FODEMAN: Thank you. 12 (Proceedings adjourned.) 13 14 15 16 I certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcription of the record from proceedings in the 17 above-entitled case. 18 /s/ Nicole Canales January 29, 2018 Nicole Canales Date 19 20 21 22 23 24 25